

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/536,477	12/08/2005	Laurent Demia	979-141	4956
39600 7590 04/26/2007 SOFER & HAROUN LLP.			EXAMINER	
317 MADISON AVENUE, SUITE 910 NEW YORK, NY 10017		0	LUU, THANH X	
		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2878	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	· DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/536,477	DEMIA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thanh X. Luu	2878				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versilized to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
· <u>—</u>	,—					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.	S) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
	1	•				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 May 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		·				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H S C & 110/a	) (d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 33 0.3.C. § 119(a	y-(d) 01 (1).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	' ''					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)	_					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 05/23/2005.	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

- 1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- 2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

In the brief description of the drawings, Applicant fails to mention Figs. 3A-3D. Simply referring to Fig. 3 is insufficient.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, it is unclear what "whose received optical signal" refers to. In addition, "said disc", "each optical beam" and "the pathway of the target" lacks proper antecedent basis. It is unclear what it means for "two elements of one type are emitting elements of a light beam", "whose light beam is outside target" and "in that it also comprises at least one mirror." It is also confusing because Applicant uses the terms "target" and "disc" to refer to the same element.

Regarding claim 2, "said disc portion" lacks proper antecedent basis.

Art Unit: 2878

Regarding claims 3 and 5, it is unclear how the two emitting optical elements and the one receiving optical element is related to the optical elements of emitting type and receiving type. It is unclear how many optical elements are in the invention.

Regarding claim 4, "said three optical members" lacks proper antecedent basis.

Regarding claim 6, "the two optical emitters" lacks proper antecedent basis.

Furthermore, "operate in sequentially" is improper.

Regarding claim 11, it is unclear how a rotating disc is "part of" an optical detector device as in claim 1. Furthermore, it is unclear how many discs are in the invention as a disc is already claimed in claim 1. Also, it is unclear how the meter measures fluid.

Regarding claim 12, it is unclear how a detection module is "intended to" cooperate with a fluid meter. How is fluid measured? It is also unclear how or what comprises the optical elements that are part of a device in claim 1.

Regarding claim 13, it is unclear how the collimator device is structurally related to any of the elements previously claimed.

The other claims are indefinite based on their dependencies.

Since the scope of the claims is unclear, the claims have not been examined on their merits.

#### Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh X. Luu whose telephone number is 571-272-2441. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:00AM-3:30PM.

Art Unit: 2878

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Epps can be reached on 571-272-2328. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Thanh X Luu Primary Examiner Art Unit 2878

04/2007